Transportation Investments and Land Use Changes

Coordinating Transportation Investments with Land Use Changes Through Legal, Financial and Developmental Actions

Group 1

Marian Adeimy | Molly Allen | Caesar Anderson Chelsea Arkin | Nathan Barnett | Catherine Clary

Topic Areas

 Case studies of state and regional smart growth plans linking transportation funding to growth

- 1. Maryland Smart Growth Plan
- 2. Oregon Transportation Growth Management Program
- **3. Regional Transportation Plans**
- Georgia zoning and transportation law
 - 4. Law and history
 - 5. Background on initiatives
 - 6. Legal Alternatives for Georgia

Case Study: Maryland

The Beginning of Smart Growth

Four Goals of Smart Growth

- Support existing communities by targeting resources to support development in areas where infrastructure exists
- Save our most valuable natural resources before they are forever lost
- Save taxpayers from the high cost of building infrastructure to serve development that has spread far from our traditional population centers
 - Provide Marylanders with a high quality of life, whether they choose to live in a rural community, suburb, small town or city

Priority Funding Areas

 The Priority Funding Areas Act designates certain areas—the traditional core of Maryland's urban development and areas targeted for economic development—as Smart Growth Areas



1: Case Study: Maryland

PFAs in Maryland

- Municipalities
- Baltimore City
- Areas inside the Baltimore and Washington Beltways
- Neighborhoods designated for revitalization by the Department of Housing and Community Development ("Designated Neighborhoods")
 Enterprise and Empowerment Zones
 Certified Heritage Areas within countydesignated growth areas.

Local Government Retains Control

- The Smart Growth Act gives counties the authority to designate the PFAs, although they must use criteria found in the Act to draw the boundaries
 - Maryland's PFAs are flexible and can be revised at the county's discretion with state approval

1: Case Study: Maryland

Case Study: Oregon

Transportation and Growth Management Program (TGM)

TGM: Grants



 Focused on linking smart land use and transportation planning

- Incentive based
- Funded by SAFETEA-LU and the State of Oregon
- Two Categories:
 - Transportation Systems
 Planning
 - Transportation Land
 Use Planning

TGM: Quick Response



- Focused on design elements
- Assists with an immediate need for design assistance with an imminent development
 - Used when a project does not address the community's vision for efficient transportation and quality development

Includes school siting

TMG: Code Assistance



 Very specific help to local governments to identify and update comprehensive plan policies and land use regulations Draft plans that link land use and transportation based on TGM principals

TMG: Outreach and Publications



- Working together with local governments
- Workshops and other public forums
 Tailored to individual communities
 Services provided at no charge

Regional Transportation Planning

Examples from Baltimore, Boston, San Francisco and Seattle

Regional Transportation Planning

- Starting to become a pressing issue

- Increase in the amount of time and effort
- Some good examples include:
 - Baltimore
 - Boston
 - Seattle
 - San Francisco



Mineta Transportation Institute Boston vs. Atlanta

Affords a relatively close fit between the preferences of its residents on the one hand, and their actual choices on the other.

Can I/Do I really live where I want to?

Boston- 75% Atlanta- 35%



Interesting Approaches

- Oakland
 Pedestrian Mater
 Plan
- Sacramento Blueprint

 Denver Multi-Modal Street
 Type Designation
 System



DENVER, COLORADO DECEMBER 2005

Pedestrian Master Pla

Baltimore & San Francisco

- Acknowledges the importance of integrating transportation and land-use
 - Sustainable Development
 - BTRB- understands this will be an ongoing process
- San Francisco BART
 - Rezoning as a major tool
 - Phased infrastructure improvements
 - Community organization and leadership
- Utilizes a combination of rezoning and redevelopment led land assembly
 - Collaboration with BART Department of Real-Estate

Seattle Washington MTA

- Urban design guidance, development strategies and financial incentives.
- Compact communities, urban corridors and mixed-use development/activity
- Regulatory reforms, financial incentives,

CHAPTER 4 Linking land use and transportation

ANA new to

MTC 2030 Plan For San Special Programs & Affiliations Special Programs & Affiliations

- - TLC
 - HIP
- PRINCIPLES
 - Focus Growth Around Transit
 - Provide Community Benefits
 - Reinvest in Existing Benefits
 - Reinvest in Existing Infrastructure
 - Create Smarter Suburbs
 - Build More Affordable Housing in the Right Places
 - Develop Stronger Partnerships With Public Agencies, Neighboring Regions and Private Sector



METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION

Joseph P. Bort MetroCenter 101 Eighth Street Oakland, California 94607 TEL (510) 464-7700 TDD/TTY (510) 464-7769 FAX (510) 464-7848 E-MAIL info@mtc.ca.gov WEB www.mtc.ca.gov



Implementation Strategies

- Prioritize transportation investments that maintain the existing core transportation network
- Reserve an appropriate percentage of funding from the TLC/HIP program for land-use planning efforts
- Coordinate transportation/land-use coordination beyond major transit corridors

Early Transportation and Land Use

"The old convoy had stated me thinking about two lane highways, but Germany had made me see the wisdom of broader ribbons across the land" –Dwight Eisenhower

The National Road
1920's growth in highway construction
The "Turnpike Era"
The "Interstate Era"



ISTEA (Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991)

- First U.S. federal legislation accounting for the post interstate highway system area
- Presents an intermodal approach to transit funding
- Focus on broadening participation in transportation planning (including stakeholders that were not previously included)

ISTEA main points

- Support the economic vitality of the region
- Increase safety and security
- Increase the accessibility and mobility options
- Protect and enhance the environment, promote energy conservation and improve quality of life
 Enhance the integration of connectivity
 Promote efficient system management and operation
- Emphasize the efficient preservation of existing transportation system

TEA-21 (Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century)

- June 9, 1998
- Increased transportation funding more than 40%
- Same main points as ISTEA

4: Transportation Law & History

SAFTEA-LU (Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for All Users)

- Represents the largest transportation investment in the history of the United States!
- Guaranteed \$244.1 billion in funding for highways, highway safety, and public transportation
- The act also retains the Federal-Aid Highway Program's core projects: The Surface Transportation Program (STP), the National Highway System (NHS), Interstate Maintenance Program, Bridge Program, and Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Program, but adds one additional program, the Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP)

Background on Georgia Initiatives

- Regional State Agencies
- Atlantic Station: Project XL, TCM
- Transportation: MARTA, Transit Planning Board, The BeltLine, The Brain Train
- Livable Centers Initiative (LCI)

Regional State Agencies

- Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC)
 - City of Atlanta & the Bureau of Planning
- Department of Community Affairs (DCA)
- Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT)
- Georgia Rail Passenger Authority (GRPA)
- Georgia Regional Transportation Authority (GRTA)
 Metropolitan Atlanta Rapid Transit Authority (MARTA)
 Transit Planning Board (TPB)

5: Background on Georgia Initiatives

Atlantic Station as a Project XL Transportation Control Measure

• EPA

- National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS)
- Project XL
- EPA: Transportation Control Measure
 - Georgia State
 Implementation Plan
- Atlantic Station Access+mobility Program (ASAP+)



5: Background on Georgia Initiatives





Transportation

 Transportation at Neighborhood, City and Regional levels:
 MARTA
 Transit Planning Board (TPB)

- The BeltLine
- The Brain Train





Livable Centers Initiative (LCI)



- ARC Resolution
- Implementation
- LCI Transportation
 Program
- LCI Qualification
 Process

LCI Program Policies

5: Background on Georgia Initiatives

Legal Alternatives for Georgia:

Agencies, Land Use and Transportation

- The Atlanta metropolitan area's population has more than doubled since 1970
- Just in the last decade it increased by 25 percent to 3.2 million residents at the turn of the 21st century
- Atlanta's main source of growth is found in its highway corridors, giving rise to its suburban centers and providing access for continued low-density sprawl

- As a result, the suburban population is traveling longer distances to work, and as commuters increase, so does congestion, and 90% of the regions' commuters drive to work
- In 1980, the City of Atlanta accounted for 22.4 percent of the metropolitan area population, it now is home to only 13.3 percent
- Suburbs in the year 2000 grew 100 times more than the city
 - Low-density housing in the city of Atlanta leads to low-density employment centers, most reachable only by car

Local Government Power

- Georgia has legal mechanisms, mainly through state agencies, to disperse funding to areas based on quality growth standards
- Local governments can include these growth stipulations in their comprehensive plan
- Georgia planning law enables each local government to have a comprehensive plan and land use regulations. The comprehensive plan must include environmental, transportation, land use, and developmental factors. This was enabled by the Georgia Planning Act of 1989, O.C.G.A. 45-12-200
 - By 1995, comprehensive plans were built into local planning and zoning requirements. All zoning decisions are made by the local government in that district

In 1999 Georgia also created a regional transportation authority to coordinate land use and transportation

State Agencies and Priority Funding

 Georgia's state agencies distribute funds in order to coordinate transportation needs with zoning and land use regulations.

 The Department for Community Affairs and the Georgia Regional Transportation Authority were created under O.C.G.A. 50-32-11(28).

These agencies are given the right to access to state and federal funds and the right to approve or deny transportation projects requesting these funds as they see fit. Their decisive control allows them to control funds.

Department of Community Affairs

 Georgia law gives the DCA the power to withhold funding as a result of their assessments

Georgia code states:

 In any case where a development of regional impact, as determined by DCA, any expenditure of [state or federal] funds shall be prohibited unless and until the plan for such development and such expenditures is reviewed and approved by the authority

 The decision by the DCA is final and non-reviewable, unless vetoed by a ³/₄ vote of the county commission or city council. O.C.G.A. § 50-32-14 (2007)

The DCA has the authority to expend or withhold federal and state funds based on its findings

DCA Funding Programs

- The first is the Atlanta Commercial Revitalization Deduction Program—this is a federal tax credit, issued under DCA approval
- The federal and state housing tax programs include the Housing Tax Credit Program
- In 2004, the Georgia General Assembly also created "opportunity zones" through the Opportunity Zone Tax Credit Program, "allowing the DCA to designate as a "less developed area" an area that is contained by two or more census block groups with 20% or greater poverty"
- The DCA also promotes quality growth by facilitating local growth with growth management and planning tools, and also regarding local regions who implement efficient and quality land use in their development plans and comprehensive plans

GRTA

In 1999 the Georgia Regional Transportation Authority or GRTA, created by Governor Roy Barnes to regulate the federal Clean Air Act, while analyzing transportation projects, they issue government bonds for transportation projects

 GRTA has broad powers to accomplish this broad objective. It has initial jurisdiction over the 13-county metropolitan region

.

The agency can "finance projects, facilities, and undertakings of the authority for the furtherance of the purposes of the authority within the geographic area over which the authority has jurisdiction" O.C.G.A. 50-32-11(a)(8)

The EPA also funds regional transportation based on air quality standards. If the standard for that region is not met, the EPA will withdraw funding, drastically affecting state and regional transportation projects. Compliance or non-compliance is based upon the Clean Air Act standard

Other Agencies

 The link between land use and transportation needs is established and acknowledged by the Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT)

 The GDOT publishes this connection in the statewide transportation plan

The Georgia Environmental Protection Division is under the Georgia Environmental Protection Agency and is another state agency that can legally disperse funds to areas according to agency-determined priority
One of these funding programs are the Clean Water Act Section 319(h) Grants. These federal grants are issued through the GEPD and will fund projects that implement Phase II Stormwater Management Programs

Atlanta Regional Commission

- Atlanta's regional growth and transportation needs are also monitored by the Atlanta Regional Commission, or ARC. The ARC coordinates intergovernmental initiatives in regional planning agency for the Atlanta area which consist of ten counties in the metropolitan region
- The ARC receives funding from Federal, state, local, and private entities



- The ARC has another lawful example of priority funding based on quality land use through its Livable Centers Initiatives program or LCI
- The Livable Centers Initiative is a program that spurred from this. It includes studies and funding offered by the ARC to local jurisdictions that link transportation with sustainable developments consistent with local and state land use policies